

## **Non-examination assessment policy**

**Last Review:** November 2019

**Next Review:** November 2020

**Committee:** Teaching & Learning

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

<b>Approved/reviewed by</b>	
Patrick Moriarty	
<b>Date of next review</b>	October 2020

## Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	<b>Patrick Moriarty</b>
SLT member(s)	<b>Hayley Follett, Hannah Lethbridge</b>
SENCo	<b>Hester Cannon</b>
Exams officer	<b>Jocelyn Hill</b>

## Contents

Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments.....	2
What does this policy affect?.....	4
Purpose of the policy .....	4
What are non-examination assessments?.....	4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities.....	4
The basic principles.....	4
Task setting .....	5
Issuing of tasks .....	5
Task taking .....	6
Supervision.....	6
Advice and feedback .....	6
Resources .....	6
Word and time limits .....	7
Collaboration and group work .....	7
Authentication procedures .....	7
Presentation of work .....	7
Keeping materials secure.....	7
Task marking – externally assessed components .....	8
Conduct of externally assessed work.....	8
Submission of work.....	8
Task marking – internally assessed components.....	9
Marking and annotation .....	9
Internal standardisation.....	9
Submission of marks and work for moderation .....	10
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks .....	10
External moderation-the process.....	11
External moderation - feedback .....	11
Access arrangements .....	11
Special consideration .....	12
Malpractice .....	12
Post results service .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England.....	13
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England .....	14
Appendix A: Internal Appeals Procedure.....	16

## What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

*“The regulator’s definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not ‘externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions’ is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). ‘NEA’ therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as ‘NEA’.”*

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments*, Foreword]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

This policy also affects the delivery of ASDAN qualifications which contain NEA components

## Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- ▶ cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- ▶ define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- ▶ manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA 1]

## What are non-examination assessments?

*“Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.*

*There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:*

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking.”

[NEA 1]

## Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

### The basic principles

#### Head of centre

- ▶ Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of NEA.
- ▶ Ensures that the centre’s *non-examination assessment policy* is fit for purpose
- ▶ Ensures the centre’s *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre’s marking. (Please see Appendix A: Internal Appeals Procedure).

#### Senior leaders

- ▶ Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- ▶ Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

#### **Subject head/lead**

- ▶ Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- ▶ Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- ▶ Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- ▶ Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- ▶ Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication NEA to relevant centre staff
- ▶ Ensures that students are made aware of this Policy by uploading it on Moodle and a letter sent to parents confirming the appeals procedure.
- ▶ Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

#### **Task setting**

##### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- ▶ Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

#### **Issuing of tasks**

##### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- ▶ Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- ▶ Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times

- ▶ Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

## **Task taking**

## **Supervision**

### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- ▶ Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- ▶ Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- ▶ Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- ▶ Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- ▶ Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents *Information for candidates - non-examination assessments* and *Information for candidates - Social Media* in the Candidate's Exam Handbook.
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents *Information for candidates*

## **Advice and feedback**

### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- ▶ Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- ▶ When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- ▶ Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- ▶ Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- ▶ Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

## **Resources**

### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- ▶ Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- ▶ Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions

- ▶ Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

### **Word and time limits**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

### **Collaboration and group work**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- ▶ Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- ▶ Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- ▶ Assesses the work of each candidate individually

### **Authentication procedures**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Where required by the awarding body's specification
  - ▶ ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - ▶ signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- ▶ Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- ▶ Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- ▶ Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in [NEA](#) and informs the exams officer
- ▶ Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

### **Presentation of work**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in [NEA](#) unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- ▶ Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

### **Keeping materials secure**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- ▶ When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- ▶ Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- ▶ Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- ▶ Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a review or any subsequent appeal has been completed
- ▶ Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (the JCQ document Information for Candidates-social media should be brought to the attention of candidates in the Candidates Exam Handbook)
- ▶ Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

#### **IT Manager**

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

#### **Task marking – externally assessed components**

##### **Conduct of externally assessed work**

###### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for Conducting Examinations (ICE).
- ▶ Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

###### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- ▶ Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and in accordance with ICE

##### **Submission of work**

###### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

###### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- ▶ Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- ▶ Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work



- ▶ Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- ▶ Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- ▶ Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

## **Task marking – internally assessed components**

### **Marking and annotation**

#### **Head of centre**

- ▶ Ensures where a teacher teaches his/her own child, a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not

#### **Subject head/lead**

- ▶ Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marketing process
- ▶ Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- ▶ Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- ▶ Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

## **Internal standardisation**

### **Subject Head/Lead**

- ▶ Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- ▶ Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- ▶ Ensures accurate internal standardisation - for example by
  - ▶ obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
  - ▶ holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
  - ▶ carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
  - ▶ after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
  - ▶ making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
  - ▶ retaining work and evidence of standardisation

- ▶ Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

### **Submission of marks and work for moderation**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline
- ▶ Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- ▶ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted or provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- ▶ Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- ▶ Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- ▶ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Ensures that for postal moderation
  - ▶ work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - ▶ moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - ▶ proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- ▶ Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- ▶ Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

### **Storage and retention of work after submission of marks**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- ▶ Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period

- ▶ In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- ▶ Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

#### **External moderation – the process**

##### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- ▶ Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- ▶ Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

#### **External moderation - feedback**

##### **Subject head/lead**

- ▶ Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series
- ▶ Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- ▶ Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

#### **Access arrangements**

##### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Works with the SENCo/Exams Access Arrangements Co-Ordinator to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

#### **Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)/ Exams Access Arrangements Co-Ordinator**

- ▶ Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills
- ▶ Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- ▶ Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- ▶ Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- ▶ Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

## Special consideration and loss of work

### Subject teacher

- ▶ Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations (which may include medically certified illness, loss of an immediate family member etc) where a candidate
  - ▶ is absent
  - ▶ produces a reduced quantity of work
  - ▶ work has been lost
- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

### Exams officer

- ▶ Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication *A guide to the special consideration process*
- ▶ Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- ▶ Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- ▶ Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

## Malpractice

### Head of centre

- ▶ Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- ▶ Is familiar with the JCQ publication *Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures 2017/2018*
- ▶ Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself (as set out in JCoSS Staff and Students Exam and Assessments Malpractice Policy)

### Subject teacher

- ▶ Is aware of the JCQ *Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work* to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document *Information for candidates - non-examination assessments*
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document *Information for candidates - Social Media*
- ▶ Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

### Exams officer

- ▶ Signposts the JCQ publication *Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures* to the head of centre
- ▶ Signposts the JCQ *Notice to Centres - Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work* to subject heads
- ▶ Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- ▶ Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

## Post Results services

### Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* (Appendix A) clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

### Subject head/lead

- ▶ Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results
- ▶ Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

### Subject teacher

- ▶ Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- ▶ Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

### Exams officer

- ▶ Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication *Post Results Services (Information and guidance to centres)*
- ▶ Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- ▶ Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- ▶ Collects candidate consent for all Enquiries Against Results applications

## Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

### Head of centre

- ▶ Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- ▶ Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

### Subject head/lead

- ▶ Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- ▶ Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- ▶ Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- ▶ Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- ▶ Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known and understood and followed
- ▶ Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- ▶ Provides all the required centre records
- ▶ Ensures candidates provide the required records
- ▶ Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- ▶ Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- ▶ Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- ▶ Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass or Not Classified* assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline

### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass or Not Classified* assessment

## **Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England**

### **Head of centre**

- ▶ Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

### **Subject head/lead**

- ▶ Confirms understanding of the *Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- ▶ Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- ▶ Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ▶ Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

**Subject teacher**

- ▶ Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- ▶ Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- ▶ Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ▶ Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- ▶ Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

**Exams officer**

- ▶ Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

# JCoSS Internal appeals procedures

These procedures are reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

<b>Approved/reviewed by</b>	
Patrick Moriarty	
<b>Date of next review</b>	October 2020



## Key staff involved in internal appeals procedures

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	<b>Patrick Moriarty</b>
SLT members	<b>Hayley Follett, Hannah Lethbridge</b>
Exams officer	<b>Jocelyn Hill</b>

## 1. Appeals against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

This procedure confirms JCoSS's compliance with JCQ's *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2019-2020, section 5.7* that the centre has in place "a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates" and that the centre "must inform candidates of their centre assessed marks as a candidate is allowed to request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body."

Certain components of GCSE and GCE qualifications (GCE coursework, GCE and GCSE non-examination assessments) that contribute to the final grade of the qualification are internally assessed (marked) by the subject teacher. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

### Deadlines for the submission of marks (Summer 2019 exam series)

Date	Qualification	Details
07/05/2020	GCSE	Final date for submission of coursework marks
15/05/2020	GCE	Final date for submission of coursework marks

JCoSS is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill. JCoSS is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the assessor has not properly applied the mark scheme to his/her marking, then he/she may make use of this appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

JCoSS will

1. ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.
2. inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (for example, a copy of their marked work, the relevant specification, the mark scheme and any other associated subject-specific documents) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment.
3. having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate within 2 working days.

4. provide candidates with a further 2 working days following receipt by candidates of the copies of the materials, to allow them to review them and reach a decision.
5. Requests for reviews of marking **must** be made in writing to the Head of Department for the subject being appealed within 2 working days of receiving copies of the requested materials using the JCoSS Internal Appeals Form (Appendix 1). The fee of £40 is to be paid by cash or cheque and handed to the Exams Officer with a copy of the request for a review of marking.
6. allow 14 calendar days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline.
7. ensure that the review of marking is carried out by an assessor who has appropriate competence and has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate.
8. instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre.
9. The candidate will be informed in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.
10. The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the Exams Officer and will be logged as an appeal. A written record will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request (Appendix 2).

After candidates' work has been internally assessed, it is moderated by the awarding body to ensure consistency in marking between centres. The moderation process may lead to mark changes. This process is outside the control of JCoSS and is not covered by this procedure.

## **2. Appeals against the centre's decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal**

This procedure confirms JCoSS compliance with JCQ's *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2019-2020, section 5.13* that the centre has in place *"a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal..."*

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. Full details of these services, internal deadlines for requesting a service and fees charged are provided by the exams officer.

Candidates are also informed of the arrangements for post-results services **before** they sit any exams and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff immediately after the publication of results by the Exams Officer.

If the centre or a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, a review of the result may be requested.

Reviews of Results (RoRs) offers three services.

- ▶ Service 1 – clerical re-check
- ▶ Service 2 – review of marking
- ▶ Service 3 – review of moderation (this service is not available to an individual candidate)

Written candidate consent (informed consent via candidate email is acceptable) is required in all cases before a RoR service 1 or 2 is submitted to the awarding body as with these services candidates' marks and subject grades may be lowered. Candidate consent can only be collected **after** the publication of results.

If a concern is raised about a particular examination result, candidates and parents should complete the relevant form provided by the Exams Officer and return it to school with the appropriate fee by the published deadline which is currently 20 September in the year of examination ("the Deadline Date").

Where the centre does not uphold a request from a candidate, the candidate may still proceed with the RoR but all costs involved will be made by the candidate at the time the RoR is made. No RoRs will be made until fees are paid. Requests must be made in person to the Exams Officer before the Deadline Date.

Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications *Post-Results Services* and *JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes)* will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the *JCQ Appeals Booklet*. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.





## Further guidance to inform and implement appeals procedures

### JCQ publications

- ▶ General Regulations for Approved Centres  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations>
- ▶ Post-Results Services  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services>
- ▶ JCQ Appeals Booklet  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals>
- ▶ Notice to Centres - Reviews of marking (centre assessed marks)  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/controlled-assessments>  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/coursework>  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments>

### Ofqual publications

- ▶ GCSE (9 to 1) qualification-level conditions and requirements  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-9-to-1-qualification-level-conditions>
- ▶ GCSE (A\* to G) qualification-level conditions and requirements  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-a-to-g-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements>
- ▶ GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements>
- ▶ Pre-reform GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-for-pre-reform-qualifications>